

Estimated Hearing Date: June 6, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. (Atlantic Standard Time)

Objection Deadline: April 29, 2018 at 4:00 p.m. (Atlantic Standard Time)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

-----X

In re:	PROMESA
THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,	Title III
as representative of	No. 17 BK 3283-LTS
THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, <i>et al.</i> ,	(Jointly Administered)
Debtors. ¹	

-----X

In re:	PROMESA
THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,	Title III
as representative of	Case No. 17 BK 4780-LTS
PUERTO RICO ELECTRIC POWER AUTHORITY,	This Application relates only to
Debtor.	PREPA, and shall be filed in the
	lead Case No. 17 BK 3283-LTS,
	and PREPA's Title III Case
	(Case No. 17 BK 4780-LTS)

-----X

**SUMMARY SHEET TO SECOND
INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF PROSKAUER
ROSE LLP FOR COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED AS ATTORNEYS FOR THE
FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,
AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DEBTOR, PUERTO RICO ELECTRIC POWER
AUTHORITY, FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2017 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2018**

¹ The Debtors in these Title III Cases, along with each Debtor's respective Title III case number and the last four (4) digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, as applicable, are the (i) Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3283-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3481); (ii) Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation ("COFINA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3284-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 8474); (iii) Puerto Rico Highways and Transportation Authority ("HTA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3567-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3808); (iv) Employees Retirement System of the Government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico ("ERS") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3566-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 9686); and (v) Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority ("PREPA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 4780-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3747). (Title III case numbers are listed as Bankruptcy Case numbers due to software limitations).

Schedule 1

Summary of Fees and Expenses Paid to Date and the Balance of Fees and Expenses for which Allowance and Payment is Requested for the Compensation Period

Name of Applicant:	Proskauer Rose LLP (“ <u>Proskauer</u> ”)
Retained to Provide Professional Services to:	The Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico as Representative of the Debtor Pursuant to PROMESA Section 315(b)
Period for Which Compensation is Sought:	October 1, 2017 through January 31, 2018 (the “ <u>Compensation Period</u> ”)
Amount of Fees Sought:	\$2,062,304.20
Amount of Expense Reimbursement Sought:	\$37,003.99
Total Fees and Expenses Sought for Compensation Period:	\$2,099,308.19

This is a(n) ____ Monthly X Interim ____ Final Fee Application

This is the second interim fee application filed by Proskauer Rose LLP in the Debtor’s Title III case. The total time expended in connection with the preparation of this interim application is not included herein, as additional time was expended after the Compensation Period.

Second Interim Compensation Period
October 1, 2017 – January 31, 2018

Statement and Date Served	Period Covered	Total Fees Incurred	Total Fees Requested (90%)	Expenses Requested	Fees Paid (90%)	Expenses Paid
Fourth 12/19/17	10/1/17 to 10/31/17	\$423,346.00 ²	\$381,011.40	\$8,317.98	Pending	Pending
Fifth 2/16/18	11/1/17 to 11/30/17	\$550,739.00	\$495,665.10	\$7,525.03	Pending	Pending
Sixth 3/30/18	12/1/17 to 12/31/17	\$305,712.00	\$275,140.80	\$10,288.56	Pending	Pending
Seventh 3/30/18	1/1/18 to 1/31/18	\$782,507.20	\$704,256.48	\$10,872.42	Pending	Pending
Totals:		\$2,062,304.20	\$1,856,073.78	\$37,003.99	\$0.00	\$0.00

² As served, Proskauer's fourth monthly fee statement requested payment for total fees incurred in the amount of \$427,507.00. Subsequent to service and payment thereon, Proskauer reduced its fees by \$4,161 with respect to such statement, down to a total of \$423,346.00, which reduction is reflected in this Application.

Additional information required pursuant to the *United States Trustee Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed under 11 U.S.C. § 330* by Attorneys in Larger Chapter 11 Cases issued by the Executive Office for the United States Trustee:

Blended Rate in This Application for All Attorneys	\$740.94 ³
Blended Rate in This Application for All Timekeepers	\$683.95
Compensation Sought in this Application Already Paid Pursuant to Monthly Compensation Statements but Not Yet Allowed	\$0.00
Expenses Sought in this Application Already Paid Pursuant to Monthly Compensation Statements but Not Yet Allowed	\$0.00
Number of Professionals Included in this Application ⁴	67
Number of Professionals in This Application NOT Included in Staffing Plan Approved by Client ⁵	N/A
If Applicable, the Difference Between Fees Budgeted and Compensation Sought for This Application Period	N/A
Number of Professionals Billing Fewer than 15 Hours to the Case During This Application Period	29
Any Rates Higher than Those Approved or Disclosed when Retained? If yes, calculate and disclose the total compensation sought in this Application using the rates originally disclosed at retention.	No. Proskauer's engagement letter provided for rate increases. The amount of compensation attributable to Proskauer's rate increase for the current fiscal year is approximately \$29,903.20, representing approximately 1.45% of the requested fees for this Compensation Period.

³ This rate excludes non-attorneys (*e.g.*, paralegals).

⁴ As used herein, the term "professionals" includes all timekeepers.

⁵ As noted in the budget Staffing Plan, the number of timekeepers expected to work on the matter during the Compensation Period was 70, and thus the actual number of timekeepers was 3 fewer than anticipated.

Schedule 2

**Summary of Professional Services Rendered by
Timekeeper for the Period October 1, 2017 through January 31, 2018**

NAME OF PROFESSIONAL (in alphabetical order)	DEPARTMENT AND YEAR ADMITTED	HOURLY RATE⁶	TOTAL HOURS BILLED (in this application)	FEES BILLED
<i>PARTNERS</i>				
Ashton, Ann M.	Litigation – 1980	\$730	67.30	\$49,129.00
		\$759	74.50	\$56,545.50
Barak, Ehud ⁷	BSGR&B ⁸ – 2010	\$730	141.60	\$103,368.00
		\$759	127.50	\$96,772.50
Bienenstock, Martin J.	BSGR&B – 1978	\$730	120.20	\$87,746.00
		\$759	35.40	\$26,868.60
Brenner, Guy	Labor & Employment – 2002	\$730	42.50	\$31,025.00
		\$759	5.70	\$4,326.30
Campana, Kristen V.	Corporate – 2000	\$759	72.30	\$54,875.70
Dale, Margaret A.	Litigation – 1990	\$730	0.50	\$365.00
		\$759	18.60	\$14,117.40
Faust, Scott A.	Labor & Employment – 1985	\$759	1.60	\$1,214.40

⁶ In the ordinary course of business, the standard hourly billing rates for Proskauer professionals and paraprofessionals are adjusted annually as of the commencement of the firm’s fiscal year on November 1 to reflect economic and other conditions and apply to matters in and out of bankruptcy cases. Pursuant to the Appendix B Guidelines, “rate increases” “exclude annual ‘step increases’ historically awarded by the firm in the ordinary course to attorneys throughout the firm due to advancing seniority and promotion.” Appendix B Guidelines ¶ B.2.d, n.2. Annual step increases are awarded by Proskauer in the ordinary course throughout the firm on May 1. Proskauer’s November 25, 2016 engagement letter with the Oversight Board (a copy of which is available on the Oversight Board’s website at <https://juntasupervision.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/wpfd/50/58b6126be2546.pdf>) (the “Engagement Letter”) provides that Proskauer’s rates will be increased on January 1 (rather than on November 1) by the lower of the percentage rate increase announced as of November 1 and four percent. Because Proskauer’s fees under the Engagement Letter are a blended rate (currently \$759) for all attorneys and (currently \$260) for all other professionals, no annual step increases will be applicable to the rates charged in this matter.

⁷ Promoted to Proskauer’s partnership effective Nov. 1, 2017.

⁸ Business Solutions, Governance, Restructuring & Bankruptcy.

NAME OF PROFESSIONAL (in alphabetical order)	DEPARTMENT AND YEAR ADMITTED	HOURLY RATE ⁶	TOTAL HOURS BILLED (in this application)	FEES BILLED
Febus, Chantel L. ⁹	Litigation – 2003	\$730	74.80	\$54,604.00
		\$759	8.80	\$6,679.20
Ferrara, Ralph C.	Litigation – 1970	\$730	22.40	\$16,352.00
		\$759	36.30	\$27,551.70
Firestein, Michael A.	Litigation – 1983	\$730	9.20	\$6,716.00
		\$759	25.30	\$19,202.70
Hamburger, Paul M.	Labor & Employment – 1985	\$759	1.40	\$1,062.60
Harris, Mark	Litigation – 1992	\$730	35.40	\$25,842.00
		\$759	18.00	\$13,662.00
Indelicato, Vincent ¹⁰	BSGR&B – 2009	\$730	0.80	\$584.00
		\$759	2.10	\$1,593.90
Levitan, Jeffrey W.	BSGR&B – 1983	\$730	23.80	\$17,374.00
		\$759	8.50	\$6,451.50
Mashberg, Gregg M.	Litigation – 1978	\$730	0.90	\$657.00
		\$759	6.40	\$4,857.60
Mungovan, Timothy W.	Litigation – 1994	\$730	51.80	\$37,814.00
		\$759	35.10	\$26,640.90
Possinger, Paul V.	BSGR&B – 1993	\$730	94.80	\$69,204.00
		\$759	98.80	\$74,989.20
Rappaport, Lary Alan	Litigation – 1979	\$730	0.80	\$584.00
		\$759	0.80	\$607.20
Ratner, Stephen L.	Litigation – 1975	\$730	36.30	\$26,499.00
		\$759	18.20	\$13,813.80

⁹ Promoted to Proskauer's partnership effective Nov. 1, 2017.

¹⁰ Promoted to Proskauer's partnership effective Nov. 1, 2017.

NAME OF PROFESSIONAL (in alphabetical order)	DEPARTMENT AND YEAR ADMITTED	HOURLY RATE ⁶	TOTAL HOURS BILLED (in this application)	FEES BILLED
Richman, Jonathan E.	Litigation – 1984	\$730	61.60	\$44,968.00
		\$759	48.70	\$36,963.30
Rosen, Brian S.	BSGR&B – 1983	\$730	9.20	\$6,716.00
Vermal, Ana	Litigation – 2001	\$730	0.70	\$511.00
Total for Partners:			1,438.60	\$1,068,854.00
SENIOR COUNSEL				
Febus, Chantel L. ¹¹	Litigation – 2003	\$730	22.80	\$16,644.00
Total for Senior Counsel:			22.80	\$16,644.00
ASSOCIATES				
Adams, Emilie S.	Labor & Employment – 2010	\$730	2.30	\$1,679.00
Barak, Ehud ¹²	BSGR&B – 2010	\$730	58.50	\$42,705.00
Bargoot, Alexandra V.	Litigation – 2016	\$730	27.20	\$19,856.00
		\$759	9.30	\$7,058.70
Chalett, Zachary ¹³	Litigation – 2017	\$730	58.70	\$42,851.00
		\$759	14.30	\$10,853.70
Desatnik, Daniel	BSGR&B – 2016	\$730	169.60	\$123,808.00
		\$759	82.40	\$62,541.60
Esses, Joshua A.	Corporate – 2017	\$730	0.40	\$292.00
Fier, Seth D.	Litigation – 2006	\$730	9.30	\$6,789.00
		\$759	32.30	\$24,515.70

¹¹ Promoted to Proskauer’s partnership effective Nov. 1, 2017.

¹² Promoted to Proskauer’s partnership effective Nov. 1, 2017.

¹³ Admitted to the bar during the Compensation Period. Once admitted and sworn into the bar, the timing of which varies on an individual- and jurisdictional-basis, law clerks are reclassified and billed as associates beginning on the first day of the month following such admission.

NAME OF PROFESSIONAL (in alphabetical order)	DEPARTMENT AND YEAR ADMITTED	HOURLY RATE ⁶	TOTAL HOURS BILLED (in this application)	FEES BILLED
Fiur, Seth	Litigation – 2014	\$730	11.50	\$8,395.00
		\$759	6.10	\$4,629.90
Forbes, Carl C.	Litigation – 2011	\$759	2.20	\$1,669.80
Hackett, Michael R.	Litigation – 2009	\$759	2.50	\$1,897.50
Indelicato, Vincent ¹⁴	BSGR&B – 2009	\$730	0.80	\$584.00
Kim, Mee R.	Litigation – 2016	\$730	12.70	\$9,271.00
		\$759	7.90	\$5,996.10
Kurland, Zachary R.	Corporate – 2009	\$759	60.50	\$45,919.50
Ma, Steve	BSGR&B – 2014	\$730	103.50	\$75,555.00
		\$759	17.20	\$13,054.80
Morris, Matthew J.	Litigation – 2000	\$730	38.40	\$28,032.00
		\$759	12.90	\$9,791.10
Roberts, John E.	Litigation – 2009	\$730	28.90	\$21,097.00
		\$759	31.50	\$23,908.50
Roche, Jennifer L.	Litigation – 2007	\$759	0.10	\$75.90
Rochman, Matthew I.	Litigation – 2010	\$730	10.70	\$7,811.00
		\$759	19.10	\$14,496.90
Skellet, Alexandra K.	Litigation – 2003	\$730	8.90	\$6,497.00
Stafford, Laura	Litigation – 2012	\$730	92.00	\$67,160.00
		\$759	12.10	\$9,183.90
Theodoridis, Chris	BSGR&B – 2011	\$730	169.00	\$123,370.00
		\$759	37.30	\$28,310.70
Webb, Jeramy D.	BSGR&B – 2015	\$730	2.00	\$1,460.00
Wolf, Lucy	Litigation – 2017	\$759	1.10	\$834.90
Zajac, Jared	BSGR&B – 2009	\$730	25.00	\$18,250.00

¹⁴ Promoted to Proskauer's partnership effective Nov. 1, 2017.

NAME OF PROFESSIONAL (in alphabetical order)	DEPARTMENT AND YEAR ADMITTED	HOURLY RATE ⁶	TOTAL HOURS BILLED (in this application)	FEES BILLED
		\$759	12.00	\$9,108.00
Zerjal, Maja	BSGR&B – 2012	\$730	12.10	\$8,833.00
Total for Associates:			1,202.30	\$888,142.20
LAW CLERKS				
Chalett, Zachary ¹⁵	Litigation Law Clerk – N/A	\$250	28.50	\$7,125.00
Stevens, Elliot R.	BSGR&B Law Clerk – N/A	\$250	114.90	\$28,725.00
		\$260	40.40	\$10,504.00
Total for Law Clerks:			183.80	\$46,354.00
PARAPROFESSIONALS				
Dillon, Emma	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$250	0.30	\$75.00
Evans, Carla J.	Library – N/A	\$250	3.20	\$800.00
Giddens, Magali	BSGR&B Paralegal – N/A	\$250	25.70	\$6,425.00
Golinder, Olga A.	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$250	7.40	\$1,850.00
Henderson, Laurie A.	Professional Resources – N/A	\$250	2.30	\$575.00
Lavine, Judy	Library – N/A	\$250	0.90	\$225.00
Miller, Tiffany	Labor & Employment Paralegal – N/A	\$250	15.60	\$3,900.00
Moller, Rachael Hope	Library – N/A	\$250	0.80	\$200.00
Monforte, Angelo	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$250	1.60	\$400.00
		\$260	7.90	\$2,054.00

¹⁵ Admitted to the bar during the Compensation Period. Once admitted and sworn into the bar, the timing of which varies on an individual- and jurisdictional-basis, law clerks are reclassified and billed as associates beginning on the first day of the month following such admission.

NAME OF PROFESSIONAL (in alphabetical order)	DEPARTMENT AND YEAR ADMITTED	HOURLY RATE⁶	TOTAL HOURS BILLED (in this application)	FEES BILLED
Petrov, Natasha	BSGR&B Paralegal – N/A	\$250	20.50	\$5,125.00
Quinn, Casey	Corporate Paralegal – N/A	\$250	1.90	\$475.00
Reed, William A.	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$260	0.70	\$182.00
Rodriguez, Evelyn	BSGR&B Paralegal – N/A	\$250	4.20	\$1,050.00
Sherman, Tayler M.	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$250	17.70	\$4,425.00
		\$260	5.40	\$1,404.00
Silvestro, Lawrence T.	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$250	20.50	\$5,125.00
		\$260	13.40	\$3,484.00
Williams, Selena F.	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$250	3.80	\$950.00
Winkelspecht, Michael J.	Professional Resources – N/A	\$260	1.90	\$494.00
Wizner, Eamon	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$250	5.40	\$1,350.00
		\$260	5.30	\$1,378.00
Wong, Naomi	Litigation Paralegal – N/A	\$260	1.40	\$364.00
Totals for Paraprofessionals:			167.80	\$42,310.00

PROFESSIONALS	TOTAL HOURS BILLED	TOTAL COMPENSATION
Attorneys, Law Clerks, and Paraprofessional Totals:	3,015.30	\$2,062,304.20

Schedule 3

**Summary of Professional Services Rendered by Project
Category for the Period October 1, 2017 through January 31, 2018**

PROJECT CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION	HOURS	FEES SOUGHT
201	Tasks Relating to the Board, its Members, its Staff, its Advisors or its Consultants	111.30	\$79,220.60
202	Legal Research	159.00	\$95,449.50
203	Hearings and Other Non-Filed Communications with the Court	104.60	\$77,970.40
204	Communications with Claimholders	116.00	\$83,710.30
205	Communications with the Commonwealth, its Instrumentalities or Representatives of the Commonwealth or its Instrumentalities	327.20	\$244,218.60
206	Documents Filed on Behalf of the Board	1,328.90	\$945,543.40
207	Non-Board Court Filings	152.20	\$104,824.50
208	Stay Matters	69.20	\$42,895.70
209	Adversary Proceeding	60.50	\$45,098.80
210	Analysis and Strategy	207.00	\$150,884.90
211	Non-Working Travel Time	9.00	\$6,616.40
212	General Administration	138.40	\$37,024.00
213	Labor, Pension Matters	5.70	\$4,326.30
214	Legal/Regulatory Matters	3.80	\$2,788.50
215	Plan of Adjustment and Disclosure Statement	23.70	\$12,789.00
218	Employment and Fee Applications	24.80	\$7,112.00
219	Appeal	174.00	\$121,831.30
Total for All Project Categories:		3,015.30	\$2,062,304.20

Schedule 4

**Summary of Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred
for the Period October 1, 2017 through January 31, 2018**

EXPENSE CATEGORY	AMOUNTS
Airplane	\$912.68
Filing and Court Costs	\$537.00
Food Service/Conf. Dining	\$232.18
Lexis/Westlaw	\$29,129.00
Litigation Support/Docketing	\$95.30
Lodging	\$2,226.40
Other Database Research	\$503.10
Out of Town Meals	\$90.43
Out of Town Transportation	\$147.20
Reproduction	\$1,756.05
Taxi, Carfare, Mileage and Parking	\$45.00
Taxicab/Car Service	\$389.42
Telephone	\$140.00
Transcripts & Depositions	\$800.23
Total:	\$37,003.99

Schedule 5

Blended Rate Chart

CATEGORY OF TIMEKEEPER	BLENDED HOURLY RATE	
	BILLED Domestic offices in which timekeepers collectively billed at least 10% of the hours to the bankruptcy case during the application period, for preceding year, <i>excluding bankruptcy</i>	BILLED In this fee application¹⁶
Partners	\$1,108	\$742.98
Senior Counsel	\$937	\$730.00
Associates (7 or more years since first admission)	\$839	\$738.70
Associates (4-6 years since first admission)	\$770	\$738.70
Associates (1-3 years since first admission)	\$621	\$738.70
Law Clerks	\$454	\$252.20
Paraprofessionals	\$430	\$252.15
Other	\$282	\$252.15
All Timekeepers Aggregated:	\$820	\$683.95

¹⁶ As explained in more detail herein and in the Engagement Letter, in connection with its representation of the Oversight Board, both inside and outside of Title III, Proskauer agreed to a custom fee arrangement, whereby (a) prior to January 1, 2018, partners, counsel, and associates were billed at \$730 per hour, and all other staff were billed at \$250 per hour, and (b) as of January 1, 2018, partners, counsel, and associates are billed at \$759 per hour, and all other staff are billed at \$260 per hour.

Estimated Hearing Date: June 6, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. (Atlantic Standard Time)

Objection Deadline: April 29, 2018 at 4:00 p.m. (Atlantic Standard Time)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

-----X

In re: PROMESA
Title III
THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND
MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, No. 17 BK 3283-LTS
as representative of
THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, *et al.*, (Jointly Administered)
Debtors.¹

-----X

In re: PROMESA
Title III
THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND
MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, Case No. 17 BK 4780-LTS
as representative of
PUERTO RICO ELECTRIC POWER AUTHORITY, **This Application relates only to
PREPA, and shall be filed in the
lead Case No. 17 BK 3283-LTS,
and PREPA's Title III Case
(Case No. 17 BK 4780-LTS)**
Debtor.

-----X

**SECOND INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF PROSKAUER
ROSE LLP FOR COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED AS ATTORNEYS FOR THE
FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,
AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DEBTOR, PUERTO RICO ELECTRIC POWER
AUTHORITY, FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2017 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2018**

¹ The Debtors in these Title III Cases, along with each Debtor's respective Title III case number and the last four (4) digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, as applicable, are the (i) Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3283-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3481); (ii) Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation ("COFINA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3284-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 8474); (iii) Puerto Rico Highways and Transportation Authority ("HTA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3567-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3808); (iv) Employees Retirement System of the Government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico ("ERS") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3566-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 9686); and (v) Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority ("PREPA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 4780-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3747). (Title III case numbers are listed as Bankruptcy Case numbers due to software limitations).

Proskauer Rose LLP (“Proskauer”), attorneys for the Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico (the “Oversight Board”) as representative of the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (the “Debtor” or “PREPA”) pursuant to section 315(b) of the *Puerto Rico Oversight, Management, and Economic Stability Act* (“PROMESA”),² hereby submits this second interim application (the “Application”),³ pursuant to PROMESA sections 316 and 317, Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”),⁴ Rule 2016-1 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Puerto Rico (the “Local Rules”), and the *United States Trustee Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed under 11 U.S.C. § 330 by Attorneys in Larger Chapter 11 Cases* issued by the Executive Office for the United States Trustee, 28 CFR Part 58, Appendix B (the “Guidelines”), and in accordance with this Court’s *First Amended Order Setting Procedures for Interim Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses of Professionals* [ECF No. 1715] (the “Interim Compensation Order”), for (a) allowance of interim compensation for professional services performed by Proskauer for the period commencing October 1, 2017 through and including January 31, 2018 (the “Compensation Period”) in the amount of \$2,062,304.20, and (b) reimbursement of its actual and necessary expenses in the amount of \$37,003.99 incurred during the Compensation Period. In support of the Application, Proskauer respectfully avers as follows:

² PROMESA has been codified in 48 U.S.C. §§ 2101-2241.

³ The Application solely pertains to fees and expenses incurred with respect to the Debtor’s Title III case and does not address fees or expenses incurred with respect to other services performed for the Oversight Board (a) on behalf of other Title III debtors, or (b) outside the Title III process.

⁴ The Bankruptcy Rules are made applicable to the Debtor’s Title III case pursuant to PROMESA section 310.

Jurisdiction

1. The United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico (the “Court”) has subject matter jurisdiction over this Application pursuant to PROMESA section 306(a).
2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to PROMESA section 307(a).
3. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are PROMESA sections 316 and 317.

Background

4. On June 30, 2016, the Oversight Board was established under PROMESA section 101(b). On August 31, 2016, President Obama appointed the Oversight Board’s seven voting members.
5. Pursuant to PROMESA section 315, “[t]he Oversight Board in a case under this subchapter is the representative of the debtor” and “may take any action necessary on behalf of the debtor to prosecute the case of the debtor, including filing a petition under section [304] of [PROMESA] . . . or otherwise generally submitting filings in relation to the case with the court.” 48 U.S.C. § 2175.
6. On September 30, 2016, the Oversight Board designated the Debtor as a “covered entity” under PROMESA section 101(d).
7. On November 25, 2016, the Oversight Board retained Proskauer at substantial discounts to its normal hourly rates, as shown by the Engagement Letter and by **Schedule 5** above.
8. On July 2, 2017, the Oversight Board issued a restructuring certification pursuant to PROMESA sections 104(j) and 206 and filed a voluntary petition for relief for the Debtor pursuant to PROMESA section 304(a), commencing a case under title III thereof (the “Debtor’s”).

Title III Case”). Pursuant to PROMESA section 315(b), the Oversight Board is the Debtor’s representative in the Debtor’s Title III Case.

9. Background information regarding the Debtor and the commencement of the Debtor’s Title III Case is contained in the *Notice of Filing of Statement of Oversight Board Regarding PREPA’s Title III Case* [17 BK 4780-LTS , ECF No. 2].

10. On December 15, 2017, Proskauer filed its *First Interim Fee Application of Proskauer Rose LLP for Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Expenses Incurred as Attorneys for the Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico, as Representative of the Debtor, Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, for the Period July 2, 2017 through September 30, 2017* [ECF No. 2053] (the “First Interim Application”).

11. On December 19, 2017, Proskauer served on the Notice Parties (as defined in the Interim Compensation Order) its fourth monthly fee statement for the period October 1, 2017 through October 31, 2017. On February 16, 2018, Proskauer served on the Notice Parties its fifth monthly fee statement for the period November 1, 2017 through November 30, 2017. On March 30, 2018, Proskauer served on the Notice Parties its sixth monthly fee statement for the period December 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017 and its seventh monthly fee statement for the period January 1, 2018 through January 31, 2018.

12. In accordance with the Interim Compensation Order and as reflected in the foregoing summary, Proskauer has requested an aggregate payment of \$1,893,077.77 (payment of ninety percent (90%) of the compensation sought and reimbursement of one-hundred percent (100%) of expenses incurred) and has received no payment with respect to fee statements filed during the Compensation Period.

Summary of Services Rendered by Proskauer During the Compensation Period

13. The instant application is Proskauer's second for interim compensation in the Debtor's Title III Case. Proskauer has worked on behalf of the Oversight Board to continue the significant progress achieved during the first interim period, where Proskauer transitioned the Commonwealth and certain of its instrumentalities, including the Debtor, to Title III, and among other things, negotiated within the mediation a mechanism to resolve the Commonwealth-COFINA dispute over billions of sales and use taxes, and successfully defended against attempts to (a) grab the toll revenues HTA needed to maintain the Commonwealth's transportation system and (b) grab PREPA and its revenues by putting them in the hands of a receiver. In the second interim period, Proskauer successfully defended against numerous creditor efforts to, among other things, enjoin the Oversight Board from prosecuting plans of adjustment consistent with the Commonwealth's certified fiscal plan, and require turnovers of funds critical to the provision of public services and viability of the Commonwealth. Simultaneously, Proskauer participated in the mediation, the substance of which is subject to confidentiality requirements. Significantly, virtually all the litigation was commenced by different creditor groups against the Oversight Board, except for a motion to install a chief transformation officer at PREPA and a motion for approval of the Commonwealth's loan to PREPA. The Commonwealth made clear it did not want the hurricane to halt progress in resolving fundamental issues raised by creditors because the same issues would remain in the hurricane's aftermath. Proskauer's efforts resulted in judgments dismissing the efforts to enjoin the Oversight Board and to compel turnovers of revenues. The Commonwealth was allowed to lend \$300 million to PREPA on a superpriority basis, and to reapply to lend more as PREPA requires additional funds. The motion to install a chief transformation officer was denied. Concurrently, in the face of the devastation wrought by

Hurricanes Irma and Maria, Proskauer worked with the Oversight Board's officers and other professionals to assess the situation, take actions to ensure the provision of basic services, and reformulate restructuring initiatives to reflect the changing economic landscape.

14. One of Proskauer's primary roles has been the defense of the Title III Debtors' interests in numerous, multi-billion-dollar adversary proceedings and contested matters brought by various bondholders and monoline insurers. Such matters seek, among other things, to enjoin the Oversight Board from proposing any plan of adjustment consistent with the Commonwealth's certified fiscal plan, to nullify the Commonwealth's certified fiscal plan, and to compel turnovers of billions of dollars of revenues critical to the provision of public services and the viability of the Commonwealth, especially in the wake of the hurricanes. Proskauer has defended against and raised numerous legal issues, many of first impression, involving the constitutionality of various PROMESA provisions, the interpretation of PROMESA for the first time, statutory liens, and constitutional avoidance. Following is a summary of major litigation matters in which Proskauer served as lead counsel for the Title III Debtors during the Compensation Period:

- Peaje Investment, LLC v. Puerto Rico Highways & Trans. Authority, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-00151/152: Action brought by a holder of \$64 million in 1968 HTA bonds seeking injunction against HTA's use of revenues and requesting adequate protection. Plaintiff's motion and request – which were the subject of factual and expert discovery, a full evidentiary hearing, and extensive briefing – were denied by the Court, which found plaintiff failed to show it had a substantial likelihood of prevailing on the merits regarding its claim of a statutory lien. Plaintiffs appealed the judgment, which is currently pending in the First Circuit.
- Assured Guaranty Corp., et al. v. Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-00155/156: Action in which Proskauer has fully briefed and argued a motion to dismiss an action by HTA bond insurers to require turnover of HTA revenues for postpetition debt service under sections 922 and 928 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Court granted Proskauer's motion and directed the Clerk to enter judgment accordingly, closing the adversary proceeding. A notice of appeal was filed and is now pending in the First Circuit Court of Appeals (the "First Circuit").
- Ambac Assurance Corp. v. Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-00159: Action in which Proskauer has fully briefed and argued a motion to dismiss a

complaint by an HTA bond insurer (i) claiming unconstitutional takings and due process violations, (ii) requiring turnover of HTA revenues for payment of postpetition debt service under sections 922 and 928 of the Bankruptcy Code, and (iii) seeking to compel payment of “claw back” revenues to HTA for the bondholders’ benefit. The Court granted the motion to dismiss. A notice of appeal was filed and is now pending in the First Circuit Court of Appeals.

- ACP Master LTD., et al. v. Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-00189: Action by holders of GO bond debt to obtain declaratory and injunctive relief restricting the Commonwealth’s use of essential “claw back” and property tax revenues. Proskauer fully briefed and argued a motion to dismiss which was granted by the Court. Plaintiffs appealed the judgment, which is currently pending in the First Circuit.
- Asociación de Profesoras & Profesores del Recinto Universitario de Mayagüez, Inc. (“APRUM”) v. Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-197: Proskauer filed a motion to dismiss this action by APRUM, an organization purporting to represent professors employed on the Mayaguez campus (“RUM”) of the University of Puerto Rico (“UPR”), against the Commonwealth and other defendants claiming that the fiscal plans for the Commonwealth and UPR do not allocate sufficient resources to RUM, and accordingly, are unconstitutional, *ultra vires*, and injurious to the professorate. APRUM has not opposed the Oversight Board’s most recent motion to dismiss. Pursuant to an agreement recently reached and approved by the Court, this action is currently being held in abeyance pending revisions to the Commonwealth’s and UPR’s fiscal plans. With the aftermath of Hurricane Maria, Proskauer prepared a motion proposing a further three-week extension of dates, which the Court granted. Proskauer also prepared and filed a motion to hold in abeyance a second amended complaint to allow further work on revised fiscal plans, which the Court granted.
- Employees Ret. Sys. of the Gov’t of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico v. Altair Global Credit Opportunities Fund (A), LLC, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-00213: Action brought by Debtor ERS against certain holders of its approximately \$3 billion in bond debt seeking declaratory judgments that the liens asserted by ERS bondholders are not properly perfected and do not extend to certain material assets transferred to Commonwealth and used to pay pensions ERS is otherwise liable to pay. Proskauer brought the action as representative of ERS for the purpose of determining the respective parties’ legal rights to facilitate the restructuring process. Proskauer has worked on an expedited basis to prepare witnesses for evidentiary hearings, take depositions as needed, and conduct discovery in connection with a large volume of documents. Proskauer’s motion for summary judgment on all counts, supported by extensive discovery, has been fully briefed and argued. The Court subsequently requested supplemental submissions to address, among other things, (i) a hypothetical creditor’s rights to obtain a lien on property of the plaintiff at commencement of the Title III case and (ii) methods of calculating an employer’s obligations, the sources of their funding and the method of their repayment to ERS.
- Altair Global Credit Opportunities Fund (A), LLC, et al. v. Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-00219/220: Action by ERS bondholders asserting that

actions taken by the Commonwealth to preserve pension benefits for over 200,000 participants in the public pension system were unconstitutional and resulted in stay violations and unjust enrichment. Proskauer has conducted discovery and filed a motion to dismiss these actions. Briefing is ongoing.

- Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors v. Whyte, Adv. Proc. No. 17-00257: Action between agents appointed by the Oversight Board to determine respective rights of the Commonwealth and COFINA in all sales and use taxes levied in Puerto Rico. Proskauer took actions to constrain the efforts of both of the Oversight Board's agents to exceed the scope of their mandate under the protocol, which actions are ongoing. The motion by the COFINA agent to certify issues to the Puerto Rico Supreme Court will be heard at the omnibus hearing scheduled for April 25th.
- Assured Guaranty Corp., et al. v. PREPA, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-00232: Declaratory judgment action brought by Assured Guaranty Corp., National Public Finance Guarantee Corp., Syncora Guarantee, Inc., and an ad hoc group of PREPA bondholders against PREPA, asserting a right to receipt and application of pledged special revenues to payment of PREPA bonds. Proskauer prepared and filed responses to the Unsecured Creditors' Committee's motion to intervene in the action. On October 13, 2017, the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed the action without prejudice.
- Bank of New York Mellon v. COFINA, et al., Adv. Proc. No. 17-00133: Interpleader action by the COFINA fiscal agent to determine entitlement to COFINA funds in its possession among COFINA bondholders. Proskauer responded to multiple motions for summary judgment to protect the interests of the Commonwealth and COFINA. The motions are fully briefed and a ruling is pending.

15. As part of Proskauer's involvement in the above matters, Proskauer (a) prepared and filed numerous pleadings and briefs in litigation matters; (b) participated in and prepared for hearings; (c) researched jurisprudence regarding proposed plan issues, including bankruptcy and U.S. Constitutional issues; and (d) performed a myriad of other professional services as described in this Application and reflected in **Schedule 3** and **Exhibit B**.

16. Proskauer's other notable litigation undertakings in furtherance and defense of the Oversight Board's mandate under PROMESA of returning the Commonwealth to fiscal responsibility and access to capital markets included:

- Mediation. Mediation is an essential forum for communicating and resolving the divergent perspectives of various stakeholders in connection with fiscal and debt issues. Proskauer has taken a leading role in identifying and grouping issues ripe for

court-ordered mediation, establishing protocols, and communicating on a continuous basis with the Court appointed mediators (for which there is court-ordered confidentiality).

- Disaster Relief Fund Motion. Proskauer moved swiftly to obtain disaster relief funding after Hurricanes Irma and Maria. Proskauer worked closely with the Government, the Oversight Board, and their respective financial advisors to assess the level of damage and to determine the potential amount of aid required. To be eligible for federal funds and comply with the U.S. Treasury's requirements that the potentially billions of dollars in disaster relief funds are used solely for their intended purpose, Proskauer, with the assistance of AAFAF, prepared a joint motion to safeguard the FEMA relief funds from any form of creditor attachment. The Court granted the motion with a modification to provide adequate protection for secured creditors and for super-priority administrative claims.
- Appointments Clause Actions. Various actions were filed challenging the constitutionality of the formation of the Oversight Board under the Appointments Clause of the U.S. Constitution. If successful, these actions could result in the termination of the island's debt restructuring and threaten to unravel the important progress made to date. Proskauer served as co-counsel with Munger Tolles & Olson ("MTO") in opposing these actions, with Proskauer taking the lead in the UTIER action and MTO taking the lead in the Aurelius action with Proskauer defending against the stay relief Aurelius requested. Proskauer thoroughly researched issues of territoriality, including the composition of the Oversight Board, and rigorously defended the constitutionality of the Oversight Board's appointment. The United States of America, after reviewing the constitutional legislation and the arguments, filed a brief in support of MTO's and Proskauer's position. Briefing has been completed and the Court has consolidated the various actions and has taken them under advisement.
- PREPA Postpetition Financing Motion. Hurricanes Irma and Maria devastated Puerto Rico's electrical grid and left more than half of the island without electricity for several months. As a result, PREPA was left with significantly reduced cash collections and a dire need for financial resources to continue operations even as the U.S. government was paying for and implementing emergency repairs. Acting on PREPA's emergency need, Proskauer worked with the Commonwealth, PREPA, and their respective advisors to determine terms on which the Commonwealth could and should lend money to PREPA, PREPA's cash needs, and a marketing process for postpetition financing. Proskauer drafted pleadings seeking approval of a \$1 billion financing having superpriority and priming liens. Ultimately the Court granted a \$300 million superpriority financing and permission to apply for more financing on the original proposed terms, if warranted. Proskauer also engaged in numerous rounds of negotiations with creditors to achieve amendments acceptable to the Court and various constituencies.

17. By this Application, Proskauer seeks an allowance, pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order, of **\$2,062,304.20** as compensation for professional services rendered and **\$37,003.99** as reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses incurred during the Compensation Period in connection with such professional services. Notably, the Application reflects aggregate voluntary reductions made during the Compensation Period to Proskauer's request for compensation and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$37,453.00 and \$4,041.04 respectively, on top of the sizeable discount Proskauer embedded in its engagement letter with the Oversight Board, in which it fixed hourly rates at levels providing up to 45% discounts on the hourly rates of its most senior partners.

18. Proskauer maintains computerized records of the time spent by all Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals in connection with the firm's representation of the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor. Subject to redaction where necessary to preserve the attorney-client privilege and the confidentiality of attorney work product and mediation confidentiality, copies of the computerized records with respect to the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor for the Compensation Period are attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

19. Proskauer's budget and staffing plan for the Compensation Period with respect to the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.

20. Proskauer's summary of itemized time records for professionals and paraprofessionals performing services for the Oversight Board with respect to the Debtor during the Compensation Period is set forth on **Schedule 1**. During the Compensation Period, Proskauer billed the Oversight Board for time expended by attorneys based on a set rate of \$730 per hour which increased by four-percent (rounded down to \$759) on January 1, 2018.⁵ The professional

⁵ In the ordinary course of business, the standard hourly billing rates for Proskauer professionals and paraprofessionals are adjusted annually as of the commencement of the firm's fiscal year on November 1 to reflect

services performed by Proskauer on behalf of the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor during the Compensation Period required an aggregate expenditure of 1,461.40 recorded hours by Proskauer's partners and counsel; 1,202.30 recorded hours by associates; 183.80 recorded hours by law clerks;⁶ and 167.80 recorded hours by paraprofessionals. As required by the Guidelines, **Exhibit C** attached hereto shows, for each Project Category (as defined below), a list of professionals who performed services in each Project Category and the aggregate recorded hours each professional incurred. In accordance with Guidelines paragraph C.3, Proskauer's blended hourly rates are disclosed in **Schedules 1** and **5**. The fees charged by Proskauer, as set forth in **Schedule 2**, are in accordance with the firm's existing billing rates and procedures in effect during the Compensation Period, as revised to reflect Proskauer's arrangement with the Oversight Board. The standard rates Proskauer charges for the services rendered by its professionals and paraprofessionals in these Title III cases have been reduced to reflect the unique circumstances of PROMESA and the position of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, its instrumentalities, and its residents. **Schedules 2** and **3** show that the rates Proskauer charges, on an hourly basis, are below the competitive market rates for bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy matters charged by the other professionals representing the Commonwealth and statutory committees. No Proskauer rates are increased as a result of the geographic location of a bankruptcy case.

economic and other conditions and apply to matters in and out of bankruptcy cases. Pursuant to the Appendix B Guidelines, "rate increases" "exclude annual 'step increases' historically awarded by the firm in the ordinary course to attorneys throughout the firm due to advancing seniority and promotion." Appendix B Guidelines ¶ B.2.d, n.2. Annual step increases are awarded by Proskauer in the ordinary course throughout the firm on May 1. Proskauer's November 25, 2016 engagement letter with the Oversight Board (a copy of which is available on the Oversight Board's website at https://juntasupervision.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/wpfd/50/_58b6126be2546.pdf) (the "Engagement Letter") provides that Proskauer's rates will be increased on January 1 (rather than on November 1) by the lower of the percentage rate increase announced as of November 1 and four percent. Because Proskauer's fees under the Engagement Letter are a blended rate (currently \$759) for all attorneys and (currently \$260) for all other professionals, no annual step increases will be applicable to the rates charged in this matter.

⁶ Law clerks are incoming attorneys who have not yet been admitted or sworn into the bar. Once admitted and sworn into the bar, the timing of which varies on an individual- and jurisdictional-basis, such individuals are reclassified and billed as associates beginning on the first day of the month following such admission.

21. All entries itemized in Proskauer's time records comply with the requirements set forth in the Guidelines, including, without limitation, (a) the utilization of project categories (each a "Project Category"), (b) a description of each activity or service that each individual performed, and (c) the number of hours (in increments of one-tenth of an hour) spent by each individual providing the services. Each Project Category is organized in accordance with Guidelines paragraph C.8.b. If a Project Category does not appear in a particular application, Proskauer did not bill time or expenses for that Project Category during the Compensation Period, but may bill time for that Project Category in the future.

Applicant Statement In Compliance with Appendix B Guidelines C.5

22. The following answers are provided in response to the questions set forth in Guidelines paragraph C.5:

Question: Did you agree to any variations from, or alternatives to, your standard or customary billing rates, fees or terms for services pertaining to this engagement that were provided during the application period? If so, please explain.

Response: Yes. As explained in more detail in the Engagement Letter, in connection with its representation of the Oversight Board, both inside and outside of Title III, Proskauer agreed to a custom fee arrangement, whereby (a) prior to January 1, 2018, partners, counsel, and associates were billed at \$730 per hour, and all other staff were billed at \$250 per hour, and (b) as of January 1, 2018, partners, counsel, and associates are billed at \$759 per hour, and all other staff are billed at \$260 per hour.

Question: If the fees sought in this fee application as compared to the fees budgeted for the time period covered by this fee application are higher by 10% or more, did you discuss the reasons for the variation with the client?

Response: The total fees sought in this fee application did not exceed total budgeted fees by greater than 10%.

Question: Have any of the professionals included in this fee application varied their hourly rate based on the geographic location of the bankruptcy case?

Response: No.

Question: Does the fee application include time or fees related to reviewing or revising time records or preparing, reviewing, or revising invoices? (This is limited to work involved in preparing and editing billing records that would not be compensable outside of bankruptcy and does not include reasonable fees for preparing a fee application.). If so, please quantify by hours and fees.

Response: No.

Question: Does this fee application include time or fees for reviewing time records to redact any privileged or other confidential information? If so, please quantify by hours and fees.

Response: No, such time was not billed.

Question: If the fee application includes any rate increases since retention: (i) Did your client review and approve those rate increases in advance? (ii) Did your client agree when retaining the law firm to accept all future rate increases? If not, did you inform your client that they need not agree to modified rates or terms in order to have you continue the representation, consistent with ABA Formal Ethics Opinion 11-458?

Response: Yes. The Engagement Letter provides that Proskauer's rates will be increased on January 1 (rather than on November 1, the beginning of Proskauer's fiscal year) by the lower of the percentage rate increase announced as of November 1 and four percent. As Proskauer's announced percentage rate increase exceeded 4% as of November 1, Proskauer's rates with respect to its representation of the Oversight Board were increased by four percent.

Hearings and Conferences

23. Proskauer attorneys attended hearings and participated in numerous teleconferences with the Oversight Board, the Board's other professional advisors, AAFAF and its professional advisors, the Unsecured Creditors' Committee and its professional advisors, the Official Committee of Retired Employees and its professional advisors, and the Debtor's creditors and their professional advisors. Proskauer attorneys also participated in internal meetings and conferences. The Debtor's Title III case poses complex, often interrelated issues that frequently require the attendance of more than one professional at hearings and conferences. This facilitates the sharing of information among professionals handling different but related work streams and possessing different areas of expertise that is brought to bear on a multitude of issues. Having

more than one Proskauer attorney participate in hearings and conferences is often essential when one attorney is not handling every issue, and is beneficial to the Oversight Board when attendance by more than one attorney (telephonic and/or in-person) reduces the need for internal de-briefing meetings and therefore allows Proskauer to represent the Debtor in a more cost-efficient manner that avoids unnecessary duplication and expense. Proskauer limits multiple participation to the most critical work streams for which attendance by more than one professional is actually necessary to provide efficient and appropriate representation for the Debtor.

Professionals Billing Fewer Than Five Hours per Month

24. The following chart indicates, solely with respect to the Debtor's Title III case, (a) professionals who billed fewer than five hours per month, (b) the months for which fewer than five hours were billed by the professional, and (c) an explanation of why the use of such professional was reasonable and necessary. As a general matter, because of the size and complexity of the Debtor's Title III case, it was reasonable and necessary to consult with professionals with specific practice area expertise to assist Proskauer's core restructuring professionals in providing the Debtor with necessary and beneficial professional services.⁷

Professional	Month(s) in which less than 5 hours were billed	Explanation of Why Services Were Reasonable and Necessary
Adams, Emilie S.	November	Ms. Adams is an associate in Proskauer's labor & employment department who assisted with analysis related to the motion to appoint a CTO.
Ashton, Ann M.	December	Ms. Ashton is a partner in Proskauer's litigation department who advised on litigation strategy in adversary proceedings.

⁷ Many of the professionals listed below have billed substantially more time on other matters related to Proskauer's representation of the Oversight Board, including matters within and without Title III of PROMESA.

Professional	Month(s) in which less than 5 hours were billed	Explanation of Why Services Were Reasonable and Necessary
Dale, Margaret A.	December	Ms. Dale is a partner in Proskauer's litigation department who coordinated discovery and document production issues.
Desatnik, Daniel	December	Mr. Desatnik is an associate in Proskauer's BSGR&B group who assisted with loan analysis and classification issues.
Dillon, Emma	November	Ms. Dillon is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Esses, Joshua A.	December	Mr. Esses is an associate in Proskauer's corporate department who assisted with legal research for opposition to dispositive motions.
Evans, Carla J.	November	Ms. Evans is a library manager in Proskauer's library department who assisted with legal research on various issues.
Faust, Scott A.	January	Mr. Faust is a partner in Proskauer's labor & employment department who analyzed and advised on the strategy related to collective bargaining issues.
Ferrara, Ralph C.	October	Mr. Ferrara is a partner in Proskauer's litigation department who advised on litigation strategy in adversary cases.
Firestein, Michael A.	December	Mr. Firestein is a partner in Proskauer's litigation department who analyzed the Debtor's fiscal plan materials in connection with discovery.
Fiur, Seth	October	Mr. Fiur is an associate in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with drafting a meet and confer letter in an adversary proceeding.
Forbes, Carl C.	January	Mr. Forbes is an associate in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with discovery related issues in the Debtor's adversary proceedings.
Golinder, Olga A.	October, November	Ms. Golinder is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.

Professional	Month(s) in which less than 5 hours were billed	Explanation of Why Services Were Reasonable and Necessary
Hackett, Michael R.	January	Mr. Hackett is an associate in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with discovery related issues in the Debtor's adversary proceedings.
Hamburger, Paul M.	January	Mr. Hamburger is a partner in Proskauer's labor & employment department who advised on the Debtor's pension issues.
Henderson, Laurie A.	November	Ms. Henderson is a docketing administrator in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with docket research and filing.
Indelicato, Vincent	October, November, January	Mr. Indelicato is a partner in Proskauer's BSGR&B group who assisted with analyzing issues related to the appointment of a CTO and to the financing motion.
Kim, Mee R.	December	Ms. Kim is an associate in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with legal research and analyses related to the Debtor's transformation plan development.
Lavine, Judy	November	Ms. Lavine is a library manager in Proskauer's library department who assisted with legal research on various issues.
Levitan, Jeffrey W.	November	Mr. Levitan is a partner in Proskauer's BSGR&B group who advised on strategy in appellate cases and remand issues.
Mashberg, Gregg M.	November, December	Mr. Mashberg is a partner in Proskauer's litigation department who analyzed and advised on appellate issues and on discovery strategy in adversary proceedings.
Miller, Tiffany	November, December	Ms. Miller is a paralegal in Proskauer's labor & employment department who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Moller, Rachael Hope	November	Ms. Moller is a research specialist in Proskauer's library department who assisted with legal research related to expert depositions.
Monforte, Angelo	November, December	Mr. Monforte is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.

Professional	Month(s) in which less than 5 hours were billed	Explanation of Why Services Were Reasonable and Necessary
Quinn, Casey	October, November	Ms. Quinn is a paralegal in Proskauer's corporate department who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Rappaport, Lary Alan	October - January	Mr. Rappaport is a partner in Proskauer's litigation department who analyzed and advised on a voluntary dismissal of the Assured adversary proceeding and the CTO motion.
Ratner, Stephen L.	December	Mr. Ratner is a partner in Proskauer's litigation department who analyzed and advised on litigation strategy and discovery issues in adversary proceeding.
Reed, William A.	January	Mr. Reed is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Roberts, John E.	November, December	Mr. Roberts is an associate in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with the analysis of appellate and removal issues.
Roche, Jennifer L.	January	Ms. Roche is an associate in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with analysis related to the financing motion.
Rochman, Matthew I.	October, December	Mr. Rochman is an associate in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with drafting briefs in adversary proceedings.
Rodriguez, Evelyn	October - December	Ms. Rodriguez is a paralegal in Proskauer's BSGR&B group who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Rosen, Brian S.	November, December	Mr. Rosen is a partner in Proskauer's BSGR&B group who analyzed and advised on litigation strategy in adversary proceedings.
Sherman, Tayler M.	October	Ms. Sherman is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Silvestro, Lawrence T.	December	Mr. Silvestro is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.

Professional	Month(s) in which less than 5 hours were billed	Explanation of Why Services Were Reasonable and Necessary
Vermal, Ana	November	Ms. Vermal is a partner in Proskauer's litigation department who analyzed and advised on litigation strategy.
Webb, Jeramy D.	October - December	Mr. Webb is an associate in Proskauer's BSGR&B group who assisted with analysis related to the CTO motion and payment of insurance claims.
Williams, Selena	December	Ms. Williams is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Winkelspecht, Michael J.	January	Mr. Winkelspecht is an eDiscovery project manager at Proskauer who assisted with issues related to various document productions.
Wizner, Eamon	November, December	Mr. Wizner is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Wolf, Lucy	January	Ms. Wolf is an associate in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with legal research related to discovery issues.
Wong, Naomi	January	Ms. Wong is a paralegal in Proskauer's litigation department who assisted with various administrative tasks.
Zerjal, Maja	November	Ms. Zerjal is an associate in Proskauer's BSGR&B group who assisted with analysis of a lift-stay motion.

Summary Description of Professional Services

25. The following is a brief narrative summary, listed by Project Category, of the professional services rendered by Proskauer during the Compensation Period.⁸

⁸ Proskauer prepared a separate invoice for each month in the Compensation Period in which any of its professionals billed time to the Debtor while physically in Puerto Rico. Each such invoice is labeled as applying to services rendered in Puerto Rico.

(a) Tasks Relating to the Board, its Members, its Staff, its Advisors or its Consultants
(Project Category 201)

(Fees: \$79,220.60; Hours: 111.30)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$79,220.60; Aggregate Hours: 111.30)

26. This Project Category includes time spent communicating with the Oversight Board, the Debtor, and their representatives, agents, and consultants. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Communicating with the Oversight Board and its professionals regarding the Debtor's Title III case strategy issues, including issues relating to the proposed appointment of a CTO, regulatory orders concerning the Debtor, and the governor's authority over PREPA;
- Communicating with the Oversight Board and its professionals regarding economic analyses, proposed revisions to the Debtor's fiscal plan and related due diligence, funding, and creditor issues; and
- Communicating with the Oversight Board and its professionals regarding the Debtor's litigation strategy, document preservation, and discovery issues.

(b) Legal Research (Project Category 202)

(Fees: \$95,449.50; Hours: 159.00)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$95,449.50; Aggregate Hours: 159.00)

27. This Project Category includes time spent researching and analyzing legal issues and drafting internal memoranda analyzing legal issues and/or briefs showing the legal issues researched and analyzed, to the extent not expressly covered by another Project Category. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Analyzing and researching legislative history relating to the role and authority of the Oversight Board in connection with the proposed appointment of a CTO;
- Analyzing and researching liability and termination of contracts in connection with the Whitefish contract cancellation;
- Analyzing the Debtor's debt documents and researching issues related to proposed revisions to the Debtor's fiscal plan and corresponding creditor and funding issues; and
- Researching issues related to insurance claims, federal preemption, the removal and dismissal of actions, privilege and public disclosure, and other items relevant to the Debtor's Title III case.

- (c) Hearings and Other Non-Filed Communications with the Court (Project Category 203)
(Fees: \$77,970.40; Hours: 104.60)
(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)
(Aggregate Fees: \$77,970.40; Aggregate Hours: 104.60)

28. This Project Category includes time spent communicating with the Court and reviewing the Court's communications and procedures. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Communicating with the Court regarding scheduling and procedural issues;
- Communicating with the Court regarding hearing dates; and
- Preparing for, discussing, and participating in Court proceedings.

- (d) Communications with Claimholders (Project Category 204)
(Fees: \$83,710.30; Hours: 116.00)
(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)
(Aggregate Fees: \$83,710.30; Aggregate Hours: 116.00)

29. This category includes time spent communicating with the Debtor's various claimholders. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Reviewing materials for and participating in discussions with bondholders and advisors regarding PREPA funding and creditor issues as well as various motions;
- Drafting meet-and confer letters and preparing for and participating in meet-and-confer calls with plaintiffs' counsel in adversary proceedings;
- Preparing for and communicating with plaintiffs' counsel regarding the amendment of complaints, intervention, stay matters, dismissal of actions, and scheduling and procedural issues in adversary proceedings;
- Communicating with counsel for bondholders regarding numerous discovery related issues in adversary proceedings; and
- Preparing for and participating in court-ordered mediation.

- (e) Communications with the Commonwealth, its Instrumentalities or Representatives of the Commonwealth or its Instrumentalities (Project Category 205)
(Fees: \$244,218.60; Hours: 327.20)
(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)
(Aggregate Fees: \$244,218.60; Aggregate Hours: 327.20)

30. This Project Category includes time spent communicating with the Debtor's

representatives in various Title III related matters, to the extent not expressly covered by another Project Category. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Communicating with counsel and advisors to AAFAF and other consultants and stakeholders regarding the Debtor's proposed restructuring, the development of a revised fiscal plan and transformation plan, and related financing initiatives;
- Communicating with counsel and other advisors to AAFAF regarding the CTO motion and related issues;
- Communicating with counsel and other advisors to AAFAF regarding strategies in adversary proceedings, including intervention rights, dismissal of actions, and remand issues; and
- Participating in weekly calls between the Proskauer and Greenberg teams regarding the status of the Debtor and strategy in the Debtor's Title III case.

(f) Documents Filed on Behalf of the Board (Project Category 206)

(Fees: \$945,543.40; Hours: 1,328.90)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$945,543.40; Aggregate Hours: 1,328.90)

31. This Project Category includes time spent drafting various pleadings filed on behalf of the Debtor, to the extent not expressly covered by another Project Category. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Drafting numerous motions to dismiss in the Debtor's adversary proceedings;
- Drafting an urgent motion for appointment of CTO and preparing evidentiary support, reviewing responses to the motion, preparing counter-arguments, and drafting replies to objections;
- Drafting a motion to approve post-petition financing for the Debtor;
- Drafting a motion concerning the receipt and use of insurance proceeds; and
- Drafting numerous responses to requests to modify the stay, to intervene, and to remand adversary proceedings.

(g) Non-Board Court Filings (Project Category 207)

(Fees: \$104,824.50; Hours: 152.20)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$104,824.50; Aggregate Hours: 152.20)

32. This Project Category includes time spent reviewing and commenting on Court orders and filings made by other parties in the Debtor's Title III case, to the extent not expressly covered by another Project Category. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Analyzing creditors' responses to the CTO motion;
- Analyzing numerous creditors' lift stay motions, motions for intervention and remand; and
- Analyzing creditors' dismissal motions and stipulations; and
- Analyzing creditors' motions related to discovery issues.

(h) Stay Matters (Project Category 208)

(Fees: \$42,895.70; Hours: 69.20)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$42,895.70; Aggregate Hours: 69.20)

33. This Project Category includes time spent analyzing and researching stay issues in the Debtor's Title III case and drafting various pleadings related to stay issues. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Researching stay issues relating to the Debtor and drafting motions to enforce the stay in various proceedings;
- Communicating with counsel to various parties in interest regarding lift-stay issues; and
- Drafting responses to numerous requests to modify the stay.

(i) Adversary Proceeding (Project Category 209)

(Fees: \$45,098.80; Hours: 60.50)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$45,098.80; Aggregate Hours: 60.50)

34. This project category includes time spent on various contested matters and adversary proceedings, to the extent not expressly covered by another Project Category. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys spent time:

- Conducting research for and drafting motions to dismiss adversary proceedings; and
- Reviewing document production in adversary proceedings.

(j) Analysis and Strategy (Project Category 210)

(Fees: \$150,884.90; Hours: 207.00)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$150,884.90; Aggregate Hours: 207.00)

35. This Project Category includes time spent related to (a) legal analysis in, and strategic approach to, the Debtor's Title III case, including meetings to discuss strategic case considerations, the status of various work streams, and next steps, and (b) all Proskauer internal meetings, except those specifically dealing with an issue covered by another Project Category.

(k) Non-Working Travel Time (Project Category 211)

(Fees: \$6,616.40; Hours: 9.00)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$6,616.40; Aggregate Hours: 9.00)

36. This Project Category includes time spent traveling on behalf of the Oversight Board and the Debtor, during which no billable work is performed. Fees in this Project Category are billed at fifty percent (50%).

(l) General Administration (Project Category 212)

(Fees: \$37,024.00; Hours: 138.40)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$37,024.00; Aggregate Hours: 138.40)

37. This Project Category includes time spent on general administration of the Debtor's Title III case, including (a) case status and coordination activities, including maintaining the case calendar; (b) tasks relating to the filing of documents in court, including affidavits of service and service mailings; and (c) internal filing and organization of case documents to ensure accessibility by the Proskauer team, the Debtor, and other parties in interest. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time preparing and updating internal case management documents and case calendars.

- (m) Labor, Pension Matters (Project Category 213)
(Fees: \$4,326.30; Hours: 5.70)
(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)
(Aggregate Fees: \$4,326.30; Aggregate Hours: 5.70)

38. This Project Category includes time spent reviewing employee and retiree benefits issues. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys spent time:

- Analyzing the Debtor's pension-related issues;
- Analyzing issues related to the Debtor's pensions as they affect the Debtor's fiscal plan; and
- Reviewing and discussing issues relating to the Debtor's collective bargaining agreements.

- (n) Legal/Regulatory Matters (Project Category 214)
(Fees: \$2,788.50; Hours: 3.80)
(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)
(Aggregate Fees: \$2,788.50; Aggregate Hours: 3.80)

39. This Project Category includes time spent on communications or dealings with any U.S. regulatory, congressional, or governmental entity. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys spent time:

- Analyzing FEMA grant proposals and related issues; and
- Preparing for and analyzing Noel Zamot's Congressional testimony.

- (o) Plan of Adjustment and Disclosure Statement (Project Category 215)
(Fees: \$12,789.00; Hours: 23.70)
(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)
(Aggregate Fees: \$12,789.00; Aggregate Hours: 23.70)

40. This Project Category includes time spent related to the terms of a proposed Title III plan and issues related thereto. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time analyzing loan classification issues and drafting a proposed plan of adjustment for the Debtor and a related disclosure statement.

- (p) Employment and Fee Applications (Project Category 218)
(Fees: \$7,112.00; Hours: 24.80)
(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)
(Aggregate Fees: \$7,112.00; Aggregate Hours: 24.80)

41. This Project Category includes time spent on issues related to the compensation of Proskauer, and the retention and compensation of other Oversight Board professionals, including the preparation of monthly fee applications. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time preparing Proskauer's first interim fee application as attorneys for the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor.

(q) Appeal (Project Category 219)

(Fees: \$121,831.30; Hours: 174.00)

(Fees for work performed in Puerto Rico: \$0.00; Hours: 0.00)

(Aggregate Fees: \$121,831.30; Aggregate Hours: 174.00)

42. This Project Category includes time spent on preparing appeals and participating in appellate proceedings on behalf of the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor, to the extent not expressly covered by another Project Category. Specifically, Proskauer attorneys and paraprofessionals spent time:

- Analyzing appellate strategy in connection with the bondholders' appeal of a lift-stay order; and
- Analyzing and preparing for potential appeal regarding the denial of the CTO motion.

* * * *

43. The foregoing professional services performed by Proskauer as attorneys for the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor during the Compensation Period were reasonable, necessary, appropriate, and beneficial when rendered, facilitated the effective administration of the Debtor's Title III case, and were in the best interests of the Oversight Board and the Debtor's creditors, Commonwealth's residents, and other stakeholders. Compensation for the foregoing services as requested is commensurate with the complexity, importance, and time-sensitive nature of the problems, issues, and tasks involved. The professional services were performed with expedition and in an efficient manner.

44. In accordance with the factors enumerated in the Bankruptcy Code, the amount of fees requested is fair and reasonable given: (a) the complexity of the Debtor's Title III case; (b) the time expended; (c) the nature and extent of the services rendered; (d) the value of such services; (e) Proskauer's established expertise in the bankruptcy field; and (f) the costs of comparable services other than in a case under the Bankruptcy Code.

Actual and Necessary Expenses of Proskauer

45. Pursuant to the Guidelines, **Schedule 4** is Proskauer's summary of actual and necessary expenses incurred on behalf of the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor during the Compensation Period.

46. In accordance with paragraph C.13 of the Appendix B Guidelines and as more fully described **Schedule 4**, Proskauer seeks reimbursement for its necessary and reasonable expenses, including: (a) reproduction, (b) online research, (c) delivery services and couriers, (d) local travel to and from airports, (e) out-of-town travel and lodging, (f) out-of-town meals, (g) court fees, (h) transcription services, (i) litigation support, (j) conference services, and (k) professional services. All expense entries detailed in **Exhibit B** comply with the requirements set forth in the Guidelines, including an itemization of the expenses by category, the date the expense was incurred, and the individual incurring the expense, where available. The reasons for the expenses are self-explanatory; in compliance with Appendix B Guidelines paragraph C.13, the requested expenses are of the kind customarily charged to Proskauer's non-bankruptcy clients and by other comparable professionals.

47. Proskauer generally charges from \$0.10 (black and white) to \$0.30 (color) per page for photocopying expenses. The rates charged by the firm for Westlaw and Lexis computerized research vary according to the type of research conducted and the specific files

researched, but, in any event, Proskauer does not profit from the use of computer assisted legal research, as set forth in **Schedule 4**. As per the Appendix B Guidelines, Proskauer has not requested reimbursement of expenses related to overhead charges, such as secretarial services and proofreading. As **Schedule 4** reflects, all expenditures are of the type customarily billed to clients.

48. During the Compensation Period, Proskauer has disbursed \$37,003.99 as necessary and reasonable expenses. These charges cover Proskauer's direct operating costs, which costs are not incorporated into the firm's hourly billing rates (because to do so would impose that cost upon clients who do not require extensive photocopying and other facilities and services). Only clients who actually use services of the kind set forth in **Exhibit B** are separately charged for such services. Proskauer has made every effort to minimize its expenses in the Debtor's Title III case. The actual expenses incurred in providing professional services were necessary, reasonable, and justified under the circumstances to effectively serve the needs of the Debtor in its Title III case.

Compensation Paid and Its Source

49. All services for which Proskauer seeks compensation, and expenses for which it seeks reimbursement, were performed on behalf of the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor. In connection with the matters covered by this Application, Proskauer received no payment and no promises of payment for services rendered, or to be rendered, from any source other than the Debtor. There is no agreement or understanding between Proskauer and any other person, other than members of the firm, for the sharing of compensation received for services rendered in this Title III case.

50. PROMESA sections 316 and 317 provide for interim compensation of professionals and govern the Court's award of such compensation. 48 U.S.C. §§ 2176-2177.

PROMESA section 316 provides that a court may award a professional person employed by the Debtor or the Oversight Board under PROMESA “(1) reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered by the professional person, or attorney and by any paraprofessional person employed by any such person; and (2) reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses.” 48 U.S.C. § 2176(a). Section 316 also sets forth the criteria for the award of such compensation and reimbursement:

In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded ... the court shall consider the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including—

- (1) the time spent on such services;
- (2) the rates charged for such services;
- (3) whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at the time at which the service was rendered toward the completion of, a case under this chapter;
- (4) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed;
- (5) with respect to a professional person, whether the person is board certified or otherwise has demonstrated skill and experience in the bankruptcy field; and
- (6) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this subchapter or title 11.

48 U.S.C. § 2176(c).

51. As noted above, the professional services and expenditures for which Proskauer seeks compensation and reimbursement in this Application were necessary and beneficial to the Oversight Board as representative of the Debtor. Proskauer worked diligently to anticipate or respond to the Oversight Board’s needs and assist in the Oversight Board’s navigation of the Debtor’s very complex Title III case. The compensation requested herein is reasonable in light of

the nature, extent, and value of such services to the Oversight Board. The Oversight Board has reviewed and approved this Application.

Reservations

52. To the extent time or disbursement charges for services rendered or expenses incurred relate to the Compensation Period but were not processed prior to the preparation of this Application, or Proskauer has for any other reason not yet sought compensation or reimbursement of expenses herein with respect to any services rendered or expenses incurred during the Compensation Period, Proskauer reserves the right to request compensation for such services and reimbursement of such expenses in a future application.

Notice

53. Pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order, notice of this Application has been filed in the Debtor's Title III Case and the jointly-administered Commonwealth of Puerto Rico's Title III case and served upon:

- (a) Financial Oversight and Management Board, 40 Washington Square South, Office 314A, New York, NY 10012, Attn: Professor Arthur J. Gonzalez, Oversight Board Member;
- (b) the attorneys for the Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial Advisory Authority, O'Melveny & Myers LLP, Times Square Tower, 7 Times Square, New York, NY 10036, Attn: John J. Rapisardi, Esq., Suzanne Uhland, Esq., and Diana M. Perez, Esq.;
- (c) the attorneys for the Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial Advisory Authority, Law Offices of Andrés W. López, Esq., 902 Fernández Juncos Ave., San Juan, PR 00907, Attn: Andrés W. López, Esq.;
- (d) the Office of the United States Trustee for the District of Puerto Rico, Edificio Ochoa, 500 Tanca Street, Suite 301, San Juan, PR 00901 (re: *In re: Commonwealth of Puerto Rico*);
- (e) the attorneys for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, Paul Hastings LLP, 200 Park Ave., New York, NY 10166, Attn: Luc. A. Despina, Esq., Andrew V. Tenzer, Esq., Michael E. Comerford, Esq., and G. Alexander Bongartz, Esq.;

- (f) the attorneys for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, Casillas, Santiago & Torres LLC, El Caribe Office Building, 53 Palmeras Street, Ste. 1601, San Juan, PR 00901, Attn: Juan J. Casillas Ayala, Esq. and Alberto J.E. Aenses Negron, Esq.;
- (g) the attorneys for the Official Committee of Retired Employees, Jenner & Block LLP, 919 Third Ave., New York, NY 10022, Attn: Robert Gordon, Esq. and Richard Levin, Esq., and Jenner & Block LLP, 353 N. Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60654, Attn: Catherine Steege, Esq. and Melissa Root, Esq.;
- (h) the attorneys for the Official Committee of Retired Employees, Bennazar, García & Milián, C.S.P., Edificio Union Plaza, PH-A, 416 Ave. Ponce de León, Hato Rey, PR 00918, Attn: A.J. Bennazar-Zequeira, Esq.; and
- (i) the Fee Examiner, Brady C. Williamson, Esq., Godfrey & Kahn S.C., One East Main Street, Suite 500, Madison, WI 53703.

Proskauer submits that, in light of the foregoing, no other or further notice need be provided.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

WHEREFORE Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court enter an order (a) allowing interim compensation for professional services rendered during the Compensation Period in the amount of **\$2,062,304.20** (which includes the 10% professional compensation holdback amount) and reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses Proskauer incurred in connection with such services during the Compensation Period in the amount of **\$37,003.99**; (b) directing the Debtor to pay promptly to Proskauer the difference between (i) the amount of interim compensation for professional services rendered, and reimbursement of expenses incurred during the Compensation Period allowed hereunder, and (ii) the amounts for such compensation and expenses previously paid to Proskauer, consistent with the provisions of the Interim Compensation Order; (c) allowing such compensation for professional services rendered and reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses incurred without prejudice to Proskauer's right to seek additional compensation for services performed and expenses incurred during the Compensation Period, which were not processed at the time of this Application; and (d) granting Proskauer such other and further relief as is just and proper.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

Dated: April 9, 2018
San Juan, Puerto Rico

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Martin J. Bienenstock

Martin J. Bienenstock (*pro hac vice*)
Paul V. Possinger (*pro hac vice*)
Ehud Barak (*pro hac vice*)
Maja Zerjal (*pro hac vice*)
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Eleven Times Square
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 969-3000
Fax: (212) 969-2900
Email: mbienenstock@proskauer.com
ppossinger@proskauer.com
ebarak@proskauer.com
mzerjal@proskauer.com

-and-

/s/ Hermann D. Bauer
Hermann D. Bauer
USDC No. 215205

/s/ Ubaldo M. Fernández
Ubaldo M. Fernández
USDC No. 224807
O'NEILL & BORGES LLC
250 Muñoz Rivera Ave., Suite 800
San Juan, PR 00918-1813
Tel: (787) 764-8181
Fax: (787) 753-8944

*Attorneys for the Financial Oversight and
Management Board as Representative of the
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority*